

## **Child Journey Audit Tool – CLA**

Date of Audit:	
<u>Auditor</u>	
Name:	
Hume.	
Designation:	
Other parties undertaking this as a joint audit	

It is suggested that this audit is focused on the most recent 6-month period, or current episode of involvement. The chronology will provide the historical context.

The expectation is that this audit will be completed alongside the allocated worker and service user feedback will be collected, except in exceptional circumstances.

Please highlight a judgement in each area using either bold, <u>underline</u> or deleting those that do not apply

## Child's Details

Cilia 5 Details			
Child/Young Person's	Ethnicity	Identified Allocated	
Full name:	Ethnicity	workers:	
Child's CF ID:	Language	Responsible Team:	
Date of Birth:	Religion	Team Manager:	
Type of Case:			

1. Seeing & Hearing the Child (Visits)	Areas to identify	Evidence /Comments
	Is the child seen and seen alone?  Has the impact of current wider issues been addressed and identified to inform intervention? (e.g. the impact of Covid-19 pandemic)  Are the child's wishes & feelings heard and clearly recorded?  - Has age-appropriate work been carried out to gather their wishes and feelings?  - Is there evidence that the views of the child or young person have been responded to?	
	Does the child feel safe?	
	Are visits - timely? - purposeful?	

Is there evidence that the child's identity, culture & diversity has been addressed and informs interventions?	
Is there evidence of effective direct work leading to sustained change and improved outcomes for this child?	
Is the recording of visits and other contact clear, comprehensive, reflective of the work undertaken and focused on the experience and progress of the child?	
Are looked after children and care leavers living in good-quality placements or accommodation that meets their needs?	
Is accommodation for care leavers safe, permanent and affordable? Are care leavers effectively prepared for independence and supported through their transition to adulthood?	
<b>Evaluative Summary</b> (What Grading would you apply to this section? What was a	rood about this area/what needs to improve?)

**Evaluative Summary** (What Grading would you apply to this section? What was good about this area/what needs to improve?)

2. Assessments	Areas to identify	Evidence /Comments
JUDGEMENT:	Is the reason for the assessment clearly set out?	

Outstanding= Strong Consistent	Has the assessment been completed within	
Evidence in all elements	timescales?	
Evidence in an elements	timescales:	
Good= Evidence of all elements		
	Does the assessment include chronology	
Requires Improvement=	and historical factors?	
Evidence of most areas but	and motoriour ractors.	
some gaps or inconsistent	Is there an up to date LCS chronology on	
	file?	
Inadequate= No Evidence	Is the assessment:	
	- Comprehensive and analytical?	
	- Purposeful?	
	- Turposerur:	
	Does the assessment consider/address	
	current wider issues i.e., COVID-19?	
	current wider issues i.e., covid 15:	
	Are risk and protective factors considered,	
	clearly evidenced and analysed in the	
	assessment?	
	d35C33ITCTT;	
	Has the risk assessment framework been	
	used? (This is mandatory for all cases for	
	children on Child Protection Plan)	
	Does the assessment adequately convey a	
	sense of the child's 'story' and lived	
	experience?	
	experience:	
	Are the views & wishes of the child	
	considered within the assessment?	
	Considered Within the assessment;	
	Is there evidence that consideration has	
	been given to the views of all family	
	members/carers, including absent	
	fathers/mothers?	
	<u> </u>	<u>I</u>

	Is there evidence of the genogram being utilised?	
	Does it include children who experience and/or at risk of: sexual exploitation,	
	neglect, emotional abuse, sexual abuse, physical abuse and domestic abuse.	
	Have appropriate tools been used?	
	Is there evidence of theory, research or evidence-based tools being utilised?	
	Does the assessment lead to appropriately focused help and effective interventions?	
	Does the assessment give consideration to the child's needs as a looked after child, including consideration to PEP to identify education needs, Health Assessment to identify health and development needs.	
	Does the assessment adequately consider the child/young persons culture, identity and ethnicity	
	Has contact been considered as part of the assessment?	
Evaluative Summary (What G	rading would you apply to this section? What was	good about this area/what needs to improve?)

3. Plans & Planning	Areas to identify	Evidence /Comments
JUDGEMENT:	Does the child have a LAC Care Plan or Pathway Plan which has been updated following the latest review?	
Outstanding= Strong Consistent Evidence in all elements	- Is the plan timely & effective?	
Good= Evidence of all elements  Requires Improvement=	Is there evidence of a clear and timely permanence plan?	
Evidence of most areas but some gaps or inconsistent  Inadequate= No Evidence	Is the plan outcome focussed with clear overall objectives (SMART)? Including:	
madequate= NO Evidence	<ul><li>the actions required to achieve them</li><li>the associated targets and timescales</li></ul>	
	Is the child's voice being heard and does it inform the plan?	
	Are there actions in the plan that are important to the child.?	
	Does the plan consider the child's identity and impact of culture & diversity?	
	Are actions well-coordinated between different plans in the place at the same time (e.g. PEP)?	

Is contact part of the child's plan and is this regularly reviewed
Does the current plan meet all the child's assessed needs with specific and appropriate objectives?
Are there any identified delays in:
- permanency planning/identification of a suitable long-term foster carer?
- Is there evidence of a clear and timely permanence plan?
Does the plan effectively set out what help and services will be provided to the looked after child and their family?
Is the Pathway Plan comprehensive and include actions needed to prepare the young person for independence?
Does it consider the child's emotional health needs, family and social relationships appropriately?
Are actions well-coordinated between different plans in the place at the same time (e.g. Child Protection Plans and MARAC)?
<ul> <li>Is there evidence that plans are used to inform statutory visits, planning meetings and direct work with children/young people?</li> </ul>

	Is there evidence that all options of permanency have been carefully considered for the child and decision making clearly recorded?	
	Is permanence planning timely and well matched to need? This includes where permanence is through transition to independent living?	
	Are plans for permanence, including adoption, in the best interests of children and young people and are they achieved without delay? Have they been ratified by the permanence forum?	
	How effective is matching, stability, contact and placement support (including adoption support)?	
	Has a CPR been completed for this child, if appropriate, and is it of good quality?	
Evaluative Summary (What Gr	rading would you apply to this section? What wa	s good about this area/what needs to improve?)

4. Supervision &	Areas to identify	Evidence /Comments
<b>Management Oversight</b>		
	Is there evidence of regular formal supervision in	
JUDGEMENT:	line with the Supervision Policy (bi-monthly)?	
Outstanding= Strong Consistent		
Evidence in all elements	Is there evidence of reflection?	
	is there evidence or remediation.	
Good= Evidence of all elements		
Requires Improvement= Evidence	Is there evidence that LAC planning checklists are	
of most areas but some gaps or	being utilised?	
inconsistent		
Inadequate= No Evidence	Is there appropriate challenge of practice?	
	Is there evidence that supervision and	
	management oversight are driving the child's	
	plan forward?	
	<ul> <li>are agreed actions resulting in improved outcomes for the child?</li> </ul>	
	outcomes for the child:	
	Are supervision records/decision making well	
	recorded?	
	Is there evidence of clear scrutiny/oversight	
	from IRO	
Evaluative Summary (What Gra	ding would you apply to this section? What was good al	bout this area/what needs to improve?)

5. Meetings & Reviews	Areas to identify	Evidence / Comments
JUDGEMENT:	Were the appropriate agencies/professionals invited and did they attend and contribute?	
Outstanding= Strong Consistent Evidence in all elements  Good= Evidence of all elements  Requires Improvement= Evidence of most areas but some gaps or inconsistent	Have LAC reviews & care planning meetings taken place in a timely manner  Have they used the agenda to record the meeting?	
Inadequate= No Evidence	Did parents/carers/child attend if appropriate, and were their views included in decision making?  - If not in attendance, is there evidence that parents/carers were actively encouraged and helped to participate?	
	Is there evidence of clear scrutiny from the Reviewing Officer	
	Is there evidence of effective interagency working/communication improving outcomes for the child?	

	- Is it clear how partners are contributing to the plan?	
	Does the review process ensure plans are appropriate and that any drift or delay is identified and challenged?	
Evaluative Summary (What Grad	ding would you apply to this section? What was good	about this area/what needs to improve?)

ice user/family and	note key points of discu	ssion)	
amily and note key po	ints of discussion)		
Yes	Sometimes	No	
Yes	Sometimes	No	
Yes	Partly	No	
Yes	Partly	No	
Yes	Sometimes	No	
Always	Sometimes	Never	
k)			
	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Always	Yes Sometimes Yes Sometimes Yes Partly Yes Partly Yes Sometimes Always Sometimes Sometimes Sometimes	Yes Sometimes No Yes Sometimes No Yes Partly No Yes Partly No Yes Partly No Yes Sometimes No Always Sometimes Never

Key Learning (entire audit): ( <i>Please note d</i>	anything that part	icularly stan	ds out about good practice o	area for development)
Social Worker comments on the case and	the audit activity	:		
Include evaluation of the impact of the ove				
If social worker did not take part in the au	uit activity, piease	explain why	:	
Overall Judgement for Case File Audit:	Outstanding	Good	Requires Improvement	Inadequate
Evaluative Summary of the Impact of th	e overall judgem	ent on the C	Outcomes for the child/young	n person:
	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o		,	, person
Please note any required remedial actions	to be undertaken	by the Case	manager or Team manager	
	to be undertaken	by the Case	manager or Team manager	
Action			manager or Team manager	Who / When
Action			manager or Team manager	Who / When
Please note any required remedial actions  Action  Case to be Escalated to Service Manager			manager or Team manager	Who / When
Action			manager or Team manager	Who / When

## Please ensure, following the completed audit, that:

- Audit actions have been added to LCS as a manager decision
- Completed audits are sent to the appropriate team manager to be discussed in worker supervision
- All audits to returned to QA via Siobhan Hughes and the Information Support Team via: infosupportics@shropshire.gov.uk