## Child Journey Audit Tool – CIN and CP

It is suggested that this audit is focused on the most recent 6-month period, or current episode of involvement. The chronology will provide the historical context.

The expectation is that this audit will be completed alongside the allocated worker and service user feedback will be collected, except in exceptional circumstances.

Please highlight a judgement in each area using either bold, <u>underline</u> or deleting those that do not apply

**Child's Details** 

Child/Young Person's Full name:		Ethnicity	Identified Allocated workers:	
Child's CF ID:		Language	Responsible Team:	
Date of Birth:		Religion	Team Manager:	
Type of Case:				

1. Seeing & Hearing the Child (Visits)	Areas to identify	Evidence /Comments
JUDGEMENT: Outstanding= Strong Consistent Evidence in all elements	Is the child: - Seen? - Seen alone?	
Good= Evidence of all elements	Are visits timely?	
Requires Improvement= Evidence of most areas but some gaps or inconsistent	Are visits purposeful?	
Inadequate= No Evidence	Has the impact of current wider issues been addressed and identified to inform intervention? (e.g. the impact of Covid-19 pandemic)	
	Are the child's wishes & feelings heard and clearly recorded?	
	<ul> <li>Has age-appropriate work been carried out to gather their wishes and feelings?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Is there evidence that the views of the child or young person have been responded to?</li> </ul>	
	Does the child feel safe?	

Is there evidence that the child culture & diversity has been ad informs interventions?	
- Are family members co	onsulted?
Is there evidence of effective of leading to sustained change for their family?	
Is the recording of visits and or clear, comprehensive, reflectiv undertaken and focused on th and progress of the child?	ve of the work
Is there evidence of service us tools being utilised e.g. Mind o (MOMO) statements	
Evaluative Summary (What Grading would you apply to this section	on? What was good about this area/what needs to improve?)

2. Assessments	Areas to identify	Evidence /Comments
JUDGEMENT:	Is the reason for the assessment clearly set out?	
Outstanding= Strong Consistent		
Evidence in all elements	Has the assessment been completed within	
Good= Evidence of all elements	timescales?	
Requires Improvement= Evidence	Does the assessment include	
of most areas but some gaps or		
inconsistent	Chronology?	

Inadequate= No Evidence	Historical factors?	
	Is there an up-to-date LCS chronology on file?	
	Is the assessment: - Comprehensive and analytical? - Purposeful?	
	Does the assessment consider/address current wider issues i.e., COVID-19?	
	Are risk and protective factors considered, clearly evidenced and analysed in the assessment?	
	Has the risk assessment framework been used? (This is mandatory for all cases for children on Child Protection Plan)	
	Does the assessment adequately convey a sense of the child's 'story' and lived experience?	
	Are the views & wishes of the child considered within the assessment?	
	Is there evidence that consideration has been given to the views of all family members/carers, including absent fathers/mothers?	
	Is there evidence of the genogram being utilised?	
	Does it include children who experience and/or at risk of: sexual exploitation, neglect, emotional abuse, sexual abuse, physical abuse	

	and domestic abuse.	
	Have appropriate tools been used?	
	Is there evidence of theory, research or evidence-based tools being utilised?	
	Does the assessment lead to appropriately focused help and effective interventions?	
	Does the assessment adequately consider the child/young persons culture, identify and ethnicity	
Evaluative Summary (What G	rading would you apply to this section? What was good	about this area/what needs to improve?)

3. Plans & Planning	Areas to identify	Evidence /Comments
JUDGEMENT:	Is the plan up to date?	
Outstanding= Strong Consistent Evidence in all elements	Is the plan outcome focussed with clear overall objectives (SMART)? Including:	
Good= Evidence of all elements	<ul><li> the actions required to achieve them?</li><li> the associated targets and timescales?</li></ul>	
Requires Improvement= Evidence of most areas but some gaps or inconsistent	Is the child's voice being heard and does it inform the plan?	
Inadequate= No Evidence	Are there actions in the plan that are important to the child.?	

Does the plan consider the child's diversity?         Does the current plan meet all the child's assessed needs with specific and appropriate objectives?         Are all relevant family members and agencies involved in shaping and delivering plans?         - Has the plan been shared with and understood by the child?         - Is there evidence that the family have seen the plan?         Are actions well-coordinated between different plans in the place at the same time (e.g. Child Protection Plans and MARAC)?         - Is there evidence that place at the same time (e.g. Child Protection Plans and MARAC)?         - Is there evidence that place at the same time (e.g. Child Protection Plans and MARAC)?         - Is there evidence that place at the same time (e.g. Child Protection Plans and MARAC)?         - Is there evidence that place at the same time (e.g. Child Protection Plans and MARAC)?         - Is there evidence that plans are used to inform statutory visits, planning meetings and direct work with children/young people?         Is there evidence that all options of permanency have been carefully considered for the child and decision making clearly recorded?         Is the plan updated following CIN Reviews or CP Conferences?		
assessed needs with specific and appropriate objectives?         Are all relevant family members and agencies involved in shaping and delivering plans?         - Has the plan been shared with and understood by the child?         - Is there evidence that the family have seen the plan?         Are actions well-coordinated between different plans in the place at the same time (e.g. Child Protection Plans and MARAC)?         - Is there evidence that plans are used to inform statutory visits, planning meetings and direct work with children/young people?         Is there evidence that all options of permanency have been carefully considered for the child and decision making clearly recorded?         Is the plan updated following CIN Reviews or		
involved in shaping and delivering plans?         - Has the plan been shared with and understood by the child?         - Is there evidence that the family have seen the plan?         Are actions well-coordinated between different plans in the place at the same time (e.g. Child Protection Plans and MARAC)?         - Is there evidence that plans are used to inform statutory visits, planning meetings and direct work with children/young people?         Is there evidence that all options of permanency have been carefully considered for the child and decision making clearly recorded?         Is the plan updated following CIN Reviews or	assessed needs with specific and appropriate	
understood by the child?         - Is there evidence that the family have seen the plan?         Are actions well-coordinated between different plans in the place at the same time (e.g. Child Protection Plans and MARAC)?         - Is there evidence that plans are used to inform statutory visits, planning meetings and direct work with children/young people?         Is there evidence that all options of permanency have been carefully considered for the child and decision making clearly recorded?         Is the plan updated following CIN Reviews or		
seen the plan?         Are actions well-coordinated between         different plans in the place at the same time         (e.g. Child Protection Plans and MARAC)?         -       Is there evidence that plans are used         to inform statutory visits, planning         meetings and direct work with         children/young people?         Is there evidence that all options of         permanency have been carefully considered         for the child and decision making clearly         recorded?         Is the plan updated following CIN Reviews or		
different plans in the place at the same time (e.g. Child Protection Plans and MARAC)?         - Is there evidence that plans are used to inform statutory visits, planning meetings and direct work with children/young people?         Is there evidence that all options of permanency have been carefully considered for the child and decision making clearly recorded?         Is the plan updated following CIN Reviews or		
to inform statutory visits, planning meetings and direct work with children/young people? Is there evidence that all options of permanency have been carefully considered for the child and decision making clearly recorded? Is the plan updated following CIN Reviews or	different plans in the place at the same time	
permanency have been carefully considered for the child and decision making clearly recorded? Is the plan updated following CIN Reviews or	to inform statutory visits, planning meetings and direct work with	
	permanency have been carefully considered for the child and decision making clearly	

Evaluative Summary (What Grading would you apply to this section? What was good about this area/what needs to improve?)

4. Supervision &	Areas to identify	Evidence /Comments
Management Oversight		
	Is there evidence of regular formal supervision in	
JUDGEMENT:	line with the Supervision Policy (bi-monthly)?	
Outstanding= Strong Consistent		
Evidence in all elements	Is there evidence of reflection?	
Good= Evidence of all elements		
Requires Improvement= Evidence of	Is there evidence that plans are being routinely	
most areas but some gaps or inconsistent	discussed and decisions clearly recorded?	
Inadequate= No Evidence	Is there appropriate challenge of practice?	
	Is there evidence that supervision and management	
	oversight are driving the child's plan forward?	
	<ul> <li>are agreed actions resulting in improved outcomes for the child?</li> </ul>	
	Are supervision records/decision making well	
	recorded?	
	Is there evidence of clear scrutiny from:	
	<ul> <li>IRO</li> <li>Chair for CP Cases?</li> </ul>	

Evaluative Summary (What Grading would you apply to this section? What was good about this area/what needs to improve?)

5. Meetings & Reviews	Areas to identify	Evidence /Comments
JUDGEMENT:	Were the appropriate agencies/professionals invited and did they attend and contribute?	
Outstanding= Strong Consistent		
Evidence in all elements	Have meetings and reviews taken place in a timely manner and have they used the agenda to record	
Good= Evidence of all elements	the meeting?	
Requires Improvement= Evidence of		
most areas but some gaps or inconsistent	Did parents/carers/child attend if appropriate, and were their views included in decision making?	
Inadequate= No Evidence	<ul> <li>If not in attendance, is there evidence that parents/carers were actively encouraged and helped to participate?</li> </ul>	
	Is there evidence of clear scrutiny from the Chair for CP Cases?	
	Is there a clear footprint of CP chair in the casefile?	
	Is there evidence of effective interagency working/communication improving outcomes for the child?	

- Is it clear how partners are contributing the plan?	to
Does the CIN or CP review process ensure pla are appropriate and that any drift or delay is identified and challenged?	
<b>Evaluative Summary</b> (What Grading would you apply to this section? What was go	d about this area/what needs to improve?)

## Service User Feedback (please ensure you have spoken with the service user/family and note key points of discussion)

**Service User Feedback** (please ensure you have spoken with the service user/family and note key points of discussion)

Successful 
Unsuccessful

If unsuccessful, please explain why:

Spoken to (name): Relationship to child:

Date:

I feel listened to	Yes	Sometimes	Νο	
I feel understood	Yes	Sometimes	Νο	
I feel my situation has improved	Yes	Partly	Νο	
"SW's" involvement has been important	Yes	Partly	No	
I feel safer/more in control of my life since working with "SW"	Yes	Sometimes	No	
My social worker was on time	Always	Sometimes	Never	

Any other comments/key points of discussion (Service user feedback)

Key Learning (entire audit): (*Please note anything that particularly stands out about good practice or area for development*)

Social Worker comments on the case and the audit activity:

Include evaluation of the impact of the overall judgement on the Outcomes for the child/young person: If social worker did not take part in the audit activity, please explain why:

Overall Judgement for Case File Audit: Outstanding Good Requires Improvement Inadequate

Evaluative Summary of the Impact of the overall judgement on the Outcomes for the child/young person:

## Please note any required remedial actions to be undertaken by the Case manager or Team manager

Action	Who / When
Case to be Escalated to Service Manager YES / NO (please indicate)	

Please ensure, following the completed audit, that:

- Audit actions have been added to LCS as a manager decision
- Completed audits are sent to the appropriate team manager to be discussed in worker supervision
- All audits to returned to QA via Siobhan Hughes and the Information Support Team via: infosupportlcs@shropshire.gov.uk