**Midway reviews from Safeguarding Unit in relation to Children subject of Child Protection Plans**

**Aim**

The aim of a mid-way review is to:

* To support Child Protection Plans being progressed timely and in the child’s best interests.
* Offer quality assurance of the essential elements of the progression of a child protection plan.
* Ensure that the progress of the CPP is reviewed. Any drift and delay will be identified and highlighted to the TM in order to ensure remedial actions can be addressed and ensure the RCPC is effective
* Raise any issues with drift and delay timely.
* Systematically review child protection cases mid-way through the plan.

**How**

The IC will use Liquid Logic to review the progress of the child protection plan and contact the Team Manager of the case to discuss any concerns with the progress of the plan.

The IC will use the proforma‘s below to complete and add this to case notes.

The IC will use the raising concerns process if the child has been left at further risk of harm and /or statutory processes not followed.

Mid way (6 week) review following ICPC

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Yes/No | Comment | Action needed |
| Has the child /YP been informed of the outcome of the CP conference ( 5 years upwards) |  |  |  |
| Have the right family members been involved in the core group |  |  |  |
| Have the right agencies been involved in the core group |  |  |  |
| Has the core group met within 10 days |  |  |  |
| Has the Outline CP plan been developed into a working CP plan (SMART actions) |  |  |  |
| Have relevant referrals been made as per the CP plan. |  |  |  |
| Have urgent safeguarding issues been addressed following the ICPC , e.g LPM/recommendation about living arrangements being separated, contact |  |  |  |
| Is there a good chronology and genogram evidenced |  |  |  |
| Is their evidence of the SW developing a relationship with the child and been Has the child been seen and engaged with every 10 days |  |  |  |

**Midway review 12 weeks after RCPC**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Yes/No | Comment | Action needed |
| Have statutory requirements been completed:  Seeing the child(every 10 days)  Core groups ( within 28 days and 6 weekly)  Supervision ( monthly) |  |  |  |
| Have urgent safeguarding issues been addressed following the RCPC, e.g LPM /recommendation about living arrangements being separated, contact arrangements.  Has there been an appropriate response to further risk ( strategy disc/ sec 47, missing, CSE RAT) |  |  |  |
| Has the child protection plan been updated with the recommendations from the last conference/core group and is there evidence of partnership working/Intervention? |  |  |  |
| Is the SW engaging with the child via direct work using child friendly tools |  |  |  |
| Is the direct work with the family to reduce risk progressing as per the C P Plan. Are tools being used to progress and inform assessment and work. |  |  |  |
| Is there any evidence of drift and delay that require raising with managers? |  |  |  |
| Is there evidence that the child feels safer, from the what they say and how they are described? |  |  |  |
| Is there evidence the child has a safe person to engage with. **Prompt**- does the IC need to take action to ensure the child is heard, advocacy /IC meeting with child? Referral for mentor? |  |  |  |