**Midway reviews from Safeguarding Unit in relation to Children subject of Child Protection Plans**

The purpose of this document is to provide a consistent and robust framework for the recording of CPA’s Midway Monitoring Meetings with social workers. The framework focuses on the progression of the child protection plan and safeguarding issues affecting the child(ren). It is not intended to be a file audit as part of a more overarching quality assurance framework.

The table below will inform the basis of all Midway Monitoring, however it will be considered on a case by case basis taking into account the circumstance of each case /Child protection plan.

**Aim**

The aim of a mid-way review is to:

* To support Child Protection Plans being progressed timely and in the child’s best interests.
* Offer quality assurance of the essential elements of the progression of a child protection plan.
* Ensure that the progress of the CPP is reviewed. Any drift and delay will be identified and highlighted to the TM in order to ensure remedial actions can be addressed and ensure the RCPC is effective
* Raise any issues with drift and delay timely.
* Systematically review child protection cases mid-way through the plan.

**How**

CPAs will review the progress of the child protection plan both on ICS and discussion with social worker and contact the Team Manager of the case to discuss any concerns that may arise with the progress of the plan.

**Recording**

ICS casenotes has a drop down, CPA Midway Monitoring that is used to record all Midways

**Midway review 12 weeks after 1st RCPC**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Yes/No | Comment | Action needed |
| Have statutory requirements been completed:  Seeing the child (every 10 days)  Core groups (within 6 weekly) |  |  |  |
| Have urgent safeguarding issues been addressed following the RCPC, e.g LPM /recommendation about living arrangements being separated, contact arrangements.  Has there been an appropriate response to further risk (strategy disc/ s.47, missing, CSE) |  |  |  |
| Has the child protection plan been updated with the recommendations from the last conference/core group?  Is there evidence of partnership working/Intervention? |  |  |  |
| Is the SW engaging with the child via direct work using child friendly tools?  Views of child |  |  |  |
| Is the direct work with the family to reduce risk progressing as per the C P Plan?  Are tools being used to progress and inform assessment and work?  Views of parent(s) |  |  |  |
| Is there any evidence of drift and delay that require escalation with managers? |  |  |  |
| Is there evidence the child has a safe person to engage with.  **Prompt**- Referral for mentor? |  |  |  |
| In the event the Child Protection Plans is not progressing, what is the contingency plan |  |  |  |
| Is there evidence of Management oversight and decision making |  |  |  |
| CPA summary and recommendations |  |  |  |